



THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF CLARENCE-ROCKLAND

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

Proposal Number
F18-INF-2018-003
Stormwater Master Plan (SMP)

Request for Tenders Issued On: 30-JAN-2018

Tender Submission Deadline: 2:00:00pm on 20-FEB-2018 Local Time in Rockland Ontario, Canada

Deliver to:

**The Corporation of the City Clarence-Rockland
1560 Laurier
Clarence-Rockland
Client Service Center
Rockland, ON
K4K1P7**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART 1 – INTRODUCTION.....	4
1.1 Invitation to Proponents	4
1.2 Background.....	4
1.3 Purchaser’s Code of Ethics	5
1.4 Type of Agreement.....	5
1.5 No Guarantee of Volume of Work or Exclusivity of Agreement.....	5
1.6 Proponent Consortium Information	5
1.7 Rules of Interpretation	6
1.8 Interpretation	6
1.9 Bid Irregularities	8
1.10 Permits, Licenses, and Approvals	11
1.11 Personnel.....	11
1.12 Work Plan and Timelines.....	11
1.13 Payment Terms	11
1.14 Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act	11
1.15 Supplier’s Insurance	11
1.16 General	12
1.17 Performance Evaluation Process	13
PART 2 - THE DELIVERABLES	14
3.1 Stages of Proposal Evaluation	22
3.1.1 Stage I – Review of Mandatory Requirements.....	22
3.1.2 Stage II – Rated Requirements (Appendix “E”)	22
3.1.3 Stage III – Pricing	22
3.1.4 Stage IV – Cumulative Score.....	22
3.1.5 Stage V - Tie Break.....	23
3.2 Stage I – Review of Mandatory Requirements (Pass/Fail)	23
3.2.1 Form of Offer - Appendix B (Mandatory Form)	23
3.2.2 Rate Bid Form – Appendix C (Mandatory Form).....	24
3.3 Stage II – Evaluation of Rated Requirements (90).....	24
Rated Requirements- Refer to Annex “E”	24
PART 4 - TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE RFP PROCESS	27
4.1 General Information and Instructions.....	27
4.1.1 RFP Timetable	27
4.1.2 Proposals in English	27
4.1.3 Purchasers Information in RFP Only an Estimate.....	27
4.1.4 Proponent’s Costs.....	28
4.2 Communication after RFP Issuance.....	28
4.2.1 Contracting Authority Contact Information	28
4.2.2 Proponents to Review RFP.....	28
4.2.3 Proponent to Notify.....	29
4.2.4 All New Information to Proponents by way of Addenda	29
4.3 Proposal Submission Requirements	30
4.3.1 General	30
4.3.2 Proposal Submission Requirements	30
4.3.3 Other Proposal Considerations	31
4.3.4 Proposal Receipt by Purchaser	32
4.3.5 Withdrawal of Proposal.....	32
4.3.6 Amendment of Proposal.....	32

4.3.7	Completeness of Proposal	32
4.3.8	Proponent’s Proposals Retained by Purchaser	32
4.3.9	Proposal Irrevocability.....	32
4.3.10	Acceptance of RFP	32
4.3.11	Amendments to Proposals.....	33
4.3.12	Proposals will not be Opened Publicly.....	33
4.3.13	Clarification of Proponent’s Proposals.....	33
4.3.14	Verification of Information.....	33
4.3.15	Proposal Acceptance	33
4.3.16	RFP Incorporated into Proposal	34
4.3.17	Exclusivity of Contract.....	34
4.3.18	Substantial Compliance.....	34
4.3.19	No Publicity or Promotion	34
4.4	Execution of Agreement, Notification and Debriefing.....	34
4.4.1	Selection of Proponent	34
4.4.2	Failure to Enter Into Agreement	34
4.4.3	Notification to Other Proponents of Outcome of RFP Process	34
4.4.4	Debriefing.....	34
4.4.5	Bid Dispute Resolution	35
4.5	Prohibited Communications, Confidential Information and FIPPA.....	35
4.5.1	Confidential Information of the Purchaser	35
4.5.2	Confidential Information of the Proponent	35
4.5.3	Proponent’s Submission.....	36
4.5.4	Personal Information	36
4.5.5	Non-Disclosure Agreement.....	36
4.5.6	Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act	36
4.5.7	Competition Act	37
4.5.8	Trade Agreements.....	37
4.5.9	Intellectual Property	37
4.6	Reserved Rights and Governing Law of the Purchaser	37
4.6.1	General	37
4.6.2	Rights of the Purchaser – Preferred Proponent	39
4.6.3	No Liability	39
4.6.4	Assignment	39
4.6.5	Entire RFP.....	40
4.6.6	Priority of Documents.....	40
4.6.7	Governing Law	40
4.6.8	Indemnification	40
	APPENDIX A – N/A	42
	APPENDIX B – FORM OF OFFER	43
	APPENDIX C - RATE BID FORM	47
	APPENDIX D – REFERENCE FORM.....	48
	APPENDIX E – RATED REQUIREMENTS	49
	APPENDIX F – NOTICE OF “NO BID”	28
	APPENDIX G – COURTESY LABEL.....	29

PART 1 – INTRODUCTION

1.1 Invitation to Proponents

This Request for Proposals (“RFP”) is an invitation to prospective Proponents to submit Proposals from Consulting Firms to carry out a Stormwater Master Plan (SMP) as further described in Part 2 - The Deliverables (the “Deliverables”):

The objective of the Stormwater Master Plan (SMP) is to create the required planning documentation and tools for making operational and capital improvements to the stormwater system, including optimization of the existing infrastructure to comply with regulations and projected growth for the urban area (Rockland) of the City of Clarence-Rockland (the City) for the next 15 years and beyond. The City has completed sanitary (2009) and a potable water (2016) master plans and it is expected that the SMP will be developed in consideration of any overlapping constraints or opportunities, including phasing of planned and approved growth areas.

The SMP is to support all future undertakings relating to stormwater infrastructure for existing development and the 15-year growth projection in the City. This objective is to be met by completing the following tasks:

Use and update the City’s existing stormwater collection system shapefiles to enable the development of a stormwater model.

Develop a stormwater model using PC-SWMM (or similar SWMM compatible software). This tool will be used to identify opportunities and constraints within the stormwater system under the existing and buildout scenarios.

Develop a Strategic Phasing Plan (SPP) (in 5 year increments) for upgrades and modification to strategies, and establishing of the necessary criteria/policies for the review of proposed stormwater development system to respond to existing and growth developments.

A consultation program should be undertaken to include feedback from residents, industries, special interest groups, government and non-government agencies.

The SMP will be an instrumental tool in the preparation of Development Charge by-laws, establishing opportunities and constraints within the existing collection system (i.e. allowable release rates), as well as for the preparation of the City’s capital works and operating budgets.

1.2 Background

Situated immediately east of the City of Ottawa in the United Counties of Prescott and Russel (UCPR), the City of Clarence-Rockland is considered part of the census metropolitan area of Ottawa-Gatineau, and is part of Canada’s National Capital Region. It is easily accessed by County Road 17, the main east-west corridor, and has an approximate population of 23,200 citizens.

The study area for this assignment is the City of Clarence-Rockland in its entirety as defined by the urban boundary in the Official Plan plus the special study area, and shown on Figure A.

The City of Clarence-Rockland is expecting significant growth in the coming years. Recent census data shows that, as of 2011, the population has increased by 11.5% since 2006. With new residential subdivisions, and development occurring throughout the urban area of the City (Rockland), the existing stormwater collection system may be further taxed and requires additional consideration. To meet these demands, a Stormwater Master Plan (SMP) is required that considers the needs of all residents. The SMP should be developed in accordance with the Master Planning process outlined in the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment, and, as a minimum, satisfy the requirements of the Phase 1 (need and justification) and Phase 2 (Alternative Solutions) of the EA Process. The SMP will provide the context for implementation of specific stormwater management infrastructure projects and management initiatives.

The goal of the SMP will be to identify existing and future infrastructure deficiencies and opportunities (i.e. residual capacities/allowable release rates), and infrastructure requirements to better serve the citizens of Clarence-Rockland.

The SMP will consider development pressures and will support continued growth within the City. These long range plans will be undertaken for the 5, 10, and 15 year horizon (2033). The SMP must support infrastructure growth that is consistent with the United Counties of Prescott and Russell (UCPR) and the City of Clarence-Rockland. The following documents outline relevant background material that should be reviewed prior to initiation of this assignment:

- UCPR Official Plan – April 2017
- Official Plan of the Urban Area of the City of Clarence-Rockland – October 2014
- Bourget Official Plan – January 2014

1.3 Purchaser's Code of Ethics

The Purchaser is seeking to satisfy the following objectives in issuing the RFP.

- Achieve the best solution for the needs of the Purchaser.
- Obtain quality Services at best overall value.
- Enhance customer satisfaction and improvements in Services.
- Facilitate the Purchaser's purchases without limiting the Purchaser's choice or negate any other requirement.

1.4 Type of Agreement

The Preferred Proponent shall be required to enter into an Agreement ("Agreement") for the provision of the Deliverables.

The Purchaser intends to award Agreement to one (1) Proponent.

The Agreement will be signed on or around March 21st, and no obligation on the part of the Purchaser to purchase Services shall arise until such time as the Agreement is signed.

1.5 No Guarantee of Volume of Work or Exclusivity of Agreement

The information contained in the RFP constitutes an estimate and is supplied solely as a guideline to Proponents. Such information is not guaranteed, represented, or warranted to be accurate, nor is it necessarily comprehensive or exhaustive.

Nothing in this RFP is intended to relieve the Proponents from forming their own opinions and conclusions with respect to the matters addressed in this RFP.

The Agreement executed with the Supplier will be an exclusive Agreement for the provision of the Deliverables. The Purchaser may contract with others for the same or similar Deliverables to those described in this RFP.

1.6 Proponent Consortium Information

Where a consortium is responding to this RFP, the following shall apply:

- The Proponent shall identify itself as the sole Proponent.
- The Proponent shall list all other consortium members and what each will supply.

- The Proponent shall confirm that the Proponent shall assume full responsibility and liability for the work and actions of all consortium members with respect to the obligations to be assumed pursuant to this RFP, provided that the Purchaser shall be entitled to reject a proposed subcontractor.

1.7 Rules of Interpretation

This RFP shall be interpreted according to the following provisions, unless the context requires a different meaning:

- Unless the context otherwise requires, wherever used herein the plural includes the singular, the singular includes the plural, and each of the masculine and feminine includes the other gender.
- Words in the RFP shall bear their natural meaning.
- References containing terms such as “includes” and “including”, whether or not used with the words “without limitation” or “but not limited to”, shall not be deemed limited by the specific enumeration of items but shall, in all cases, be deemed to be without limitation and construed and interpreted to mean “includes without limitation” and “including without limitation”.
- In construing the RFP, general words introduced or followed by the word “other” or “including” or “in particular” shall not be given a restrictive meaning because they are followed or preceded (as the case may be) by particular examples intended to fall within the meaning of the general words.
- Unless otherwise indicated, time periods will be strictly applied.
- The following terminology applies in the RFP:

Whenever the terms “must” or “shall” are used in relation to the Purchaser or the Proponents, such terms shall be construed and interpreted as synonymous and shall be construed to read “the Purchaser shall” or the “Proponent shall”, as the case may be.

The term “should” relates to a requirement that the Purchaser would like the Proponent to address in its Proposal.

The term “will” describes a procedure that is intended to be followed.

1.8 Interpretation

In this RFP, the Agreement and the Agreement Documents, unless expressly provided otherwise, the following definitions shall apply:

- a) “Addendum” and “Addenda” means a written addendum or addenda issued with respect to this RFP;
- b) “Agreement” means the Contract arising upon the acceptance of a Proposal and award of the Contract by the City in accordance with the RFP, irrespective of when notice of acceptance of a Proposal is received by a Consultant;
- c) “Agreement Documents” means all of the following documents:
 - i. The signed and executed Contract;

- ii. This RFP and any Addenda that form part of this RFP; and
 - iii. Consultant's Proposal, as accepted by the City;
- d) "Benchmark" means the minimum required score of a Proponent's Proposal in order to proceed from Step 1 to Step 2 of the evaluation process. For this RFP, the Benchmark shall be 63 marks out of a possible 90 marks from evaluation of Step 1 - technical Proposals;
- e) "Business Day" means any day other than a Saturday, Sunday, public holiday or other day on which banks in Ontario are authorized or required by law to be closed; "City" means the Corporation of the City of Clarence-Rockland and includes any of its designated employees, officials or agents who are engaged to represent the City in their capacity and also includes an employee designated to exercise a discretion on behalf of the City; "Closing Time" means the deadline by which to submit Proposals for this RFP as set out in the RFP Notice, as may be amended by Addendum or other written notice of the City; "Consultant" means the Proponent whose Proposal is selected and is awarded the Contract for this RFP by the City; "Contract" shall be synonymous with Agreement; "Contract Documents" shall be synonymous with Agreement Documents;
- f) "Default" means any act or event of default as contemplated in the RFP Documents; and without restricting or limiting the rights and privileges of the City to any broader interpretation, any default of or in respect of a term, covenant, warranty, condition or provision of the Contract, or a liability caused, by an officer, director, partner, employee, Subconsultant or agent (or an officer, director, partner or employee of a Subconsultant or agent) of the Consultant shall constitute a default by the Consultant;
- g) "Disbursements" means those costs, expenses and disbursements necessary for, as well as incidental to, the provision of Services pursuant to, or required by, the Contract which include, but are not limited to, software rights and licences and other intellectual property rights, plans, sketches, drawings, graphic representations, licences, fees, permits and approvals, mileage, accommodations, telephone and other communication device charges (including long distance charges), transportation and fuel charges, postage and courier charges, all printing, binding, photocopying, paper document reproduction and other related expenses and all other things and incidentals necessary for completing the Services in accordance with the Contract. All anticipated and foreseeable Disbursements are to be accounted for in the Total Contract Price. No additional Disbursements will be paid by the City, except as otherwise specified in the Contract or as otherwise expressly agreed to in advance and in writing by the City.
- h) "Evaluation Team" means a team consisting of members of the City's staff and, where considered appropriate by the City, independent consultants, who will perform the evaluation of each of the Proposals and make such reports and recommendations to the award of this RFP as they consider appropriate;
- i) "Form of Proposal" means the Form of Proposal set out in the Form of Proposal section to this RFP comprising of two (2) steps, namely, the "Form of Proposal - Step 1" and the "Form of Proposal - Costing - Step 2";
- j) "Goods" means any item of tangible personal property or computer software and, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, includes deeds and instruments relating to or evidencing the title or right to such personal property, or a right to recover or receive such property;
- k) "Project" means all Work and Services required to complete the works, as contemplated by this RFP and all Addenda thereto;
- l) "Proponent" means any person submitting a Proposal in response to this RFP;
- m) "Proposal" means a proposal submission made by a Proponent in response to this RFP;
- n) "Purchasing Manager" means the City's Manager of Purchasing or her designate;
- o) "RFP" means this Request for Proposals including the RFP Notice, the Instructions to Proponents, the Proposal Submission Information, the Form of Proposal - Step 1, the Form of Proposal -

Costing Step 2 and any schedules, the Terms of Reference, Sample Contract any other Appendices and all Addenda to the RFP together with all other documents expressly forming part of the RFP for this Project (also collectively referred to as "RFP Documents");

- p) "Services" means a service of any description whether commercial, industrial, trade, or otherwise and includes, without limitation:
- all professional, technical and artistic services, and the transporting, acquiring, supplying, storing and otherwise dealing in works and goods, as contemplated by this RFP;
 - all consulting services identified in the Contract and those not identified in the Contract but necessary and prudent, in accordance with industry standards for such Projects, to properly and fully complete the Project and perform the undertakings contemplated in the Contract;
 - all incidentals necessary for proper, diligent and satisfactory execution of the Services and the fulfillment of all other contractual obligations and undertakings of the Consultant under the Contract;
- q) "Subconsultant" is a person or entity having a direct contract with the Consultant to perform a part or parts of the Services or to supply Goods and/or Services with respect to the Project, upon the prior approval of the City;
- r) "Taxes" means applicable taxes, duties, levies and like payables required by any taxing, excise or customs authority and all other charges, including but not limited to Harmonized Tax or other applicable sales or value added taxes, customs or excise taxes or duties, including a levy or duty imposed as a Special Import Measure to which any work or supply of services or materials may be subject;
- s) "Total Contract Price" means the fully inclusive, all-in total contract price, constituting the aggregate sum of all compensation whatsoever quoted by and receivable by a Proponent in its Proposal with respect to the Services contemplated by this RFP and, unless expressly and specifically agreed in writing by the City otherwise, shall be the maximum compensation and consideration receivable by the Consultant under the Contract or otherwise for providing all Services, including incidentals, contemplated under the Contract. The Total Contract Price shall include, without limitation, all professional fees, consulting fees, staff time, sub-consulting fees and other Service fees, all Disbursements, costs, expenses, Taxes other than HST, allowances and charges whatsoever, all items and incidentals to be provided pursuant to the RFP and anything properly included as a best practice.
- t) "Work" means the total performance and related services required to complete the Project and includes all incidentals necessary for proper and satisfactory execution of the Work and the fulfillment of all contractual obligations and undertakings. Where for any reason the City elects to terminate work on the Project (or otherwise discontinue such work for an indefinite period) before final completion, the Work and Project shall be deemed to be limited to that portion of the same as has been completed up to and including the time of termination.

1.9 Bid Irregularities

This list of irregularities should not be considered all-inclusive. City staff and/or the Town Clerk in consultation with the requisitioning department will review minor irregularities not listed and acting in consensus shall have authority to waive other irregularities or grant two (2) business days to initial such irregularities.

Where, at the request of the City, a Bidder has been given two (2) business days to correct an irregularity and the Bidder fails to make such correction within that time period, the Bidder shall be deemed non-compliant and the Bid rejected without further consideration.

For the purpose of this document, the following shall apply:

- a) Bidder(s) shall also mean Proponent(s)
- b) Bid(s) shall also mean Proposal(s)

c) Bid Form shall also mean Form of Proposal

Item	Irregularity	Response
1	Late bids	Automatic rejection, returned unopened to bidder
2	Bids received in an unsealed envelope	Automatic rejection
3	Bid submitted in a form other than the original Bid Form provided	Automatic rejection, unless in the opinion of the City the information provided is complete and it is not a financial schedule that has been submitted in a form other than the original Bid Form provided
4	Bid Form not signed or witnessed	Automatic rejection
	Bid Form signed by an authority to bind the company, but not witnessed	Upon notification, two (2) business days to seal or witness or bid shall be rejected
	Bid Form witnessed, but not signed by an authority to bind the company	Upon notification, two (2) business days to sign or bid shall be rejected
5	Incomplete Bid	Automatic rejection, unless:
		a) the competitive bid opportunity state that partial bids are acceptable, and the bid is complete in respect to the portion of the scope of work or deliverable(s) bid on; or
		b) in the opinion of the City, the omission is of a minor nature and is remedied by the bidder within two (2) business days of notification. Incomplete pricing shall not be considered minor in nature and shall result in automatic rejection, with the exception of the irregularities stated in accordance with Item 6 and 7
6	If a unit price has been provided but the corresponding extended total has been omitted	The extended total will be calculated from the unit price and the quantity specified, by the Owner
7	If an extended total has been provided but the corresponding unit price has been omitted.	The unit price will be calculated from the extended total and the quantity specified, by the Owner
8	Mathematical errors which are not consistent with the Unit Price, such as tax calculation errors	Upon notification, two (2) business days to correct or bid shall be rejected

9	Unit Price, which has been changed, not initialled but the corresponding extension is consistent with the amended Unit Price	Upon notification, two (2) business days to initial or bid shall be rejected
10	Unit price, which has been changed, not initialled and the corresponding extension is not consistent with the amended Unit Price	Automatic rejection
11	Transfer of an amount from one part of the submission to another is incorrect or incomplete	Upon notification, two (2) business days to correct or Bid shall be rejected
12	Bid Bond, in the form, amount and irrevocability outlined in the Contract documents, not submitted	Automatic rejection, unless the Bid Bond submitted is in excess of the competitive bid document requirements
13	Bid Bond not signed or sealed, as applicable	Automatic rejection
14	Bids not completed in ink or typed format	Automatic rejection
15	Alterations, additions, deletions or qualifying statements made to or provided with the Bid Form	Automatic rejection, unless in the opinion of the City the statements provided do not qualify any pricing but are included for clarity purposes
16	Strikeouts, erasures, whiteouts or overwrites made to the Bid Form that are not initialled	Automatic rejection, unless in the opinion of the City, the failure to initial is minor in nature and is capable of being remedied; upon notification, bidders shall have two (2) business days to initial or the bid shall be rejected. Un-initialled alterations to pricing shall be dealt with in accordance with Items 8, 9 and 10
17	Failure to have a representative in attendance and registered at a mandatory site visit	Automatic rejection
18	Bidder has not been previously prequalified under a related prequalification process, where applicable	Automatic rejection
19	Addenda have not been acknowledged:	
	a) which have financial implications	Automatic rejection

	b) which have informational content	Two (2) business days to acknowledge or bid shall be rejected
--	-------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------

1.10 Permits, Licenses, and Approvals

Proponents shall obtain all permits, licences, and approvals required in connection with the supply of the Services. The costs of obtaining such permits, licences, and approvals shall be the responsibility of, and shall be paid for by, the Proponent.

1.11 Personnel

The Proponent should submit information related to the qualifications and experience of its personnel who will be assigned to provide the service which may include resumes, documentation of accreditation, and/or letters of reference. See Section 4.5.4 before submitting any such personal information.

1.12 Work Plan and Timelines

The Proponent should provide a detailed work plan of the services it will provide, including all of the tasks, milestones, and timelines, which may include but not be limited to providing a chart, graphic, or other tool. The names of the individuals performing each task should be included.

1.13 Payment Terms

The Purchaser’s standard payment terms are net thirty (30) days.

1.14 Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act

Section 6 of Ontario Regulation 429/07 (Accessibility Standards for Customer Service), made under the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act, 2005, requires that the contractor (successful bidder/proponent) must ensure that its employees, agents, volunteers, or others for whom it is at law responsible, receive training about the provision of the goods and services to persons with disabilities.

The training must be provided in accordance with the Regulation and must include, without limitation:

- a review of the purposes of the Act and the requirements of the Regulation
- instruction about all matters set out in Section 6 of the Regulation.

This training is available to you on-line at <http://accessforward.ca/>
The on-line training takes about twenty minutes.

The contractor (successful bidder/proponent) will be required to provide a signed acknowledgement form to City of Clarence-Rockland Procurement Services or Engineering staff (specified in this document) that confirms their compliance with Section 6 of the Regulation.

1.15 Supplier’s Insurance

Throughout the term of the Contract, the Consultant covenants and agrees at all times during the term hereof to take out and keep in full force and affect a policy(s) of:

Commercial General Liability Insurance, insuring against damage or injury to persons or property with limits of not less than \$5,000,000.00 per occurrence or such greater amount as the City may from time to time request or other types of policies appropriate to the work as the City may reasonable require. In addition, any subcontractors have to be approved by the City of Clarence-Rockland before any work is done and the following insurance and indemnification requirements and clauses apply. The insurance policy shall:

- a. Include as additional insured "The Corporation of the City of Clarence-Rockland"
- b. Contain a cross-liability clause, severability of interests clause endorsement
- c. Contain a clause including Contractual Liability coverage arising out of the contract or agreement
- d. Proof of insurance will be submitted by way of an executed Certificate of Insurance in a form satisfactory to the City of Calence-Rockland. All requested lines of coverage to be shown on the Certificate
- e. If cancelled or changed in any manner, that would affect the City as outlined in coverage specified herein for any reason, thirty (30) days prior written notice by mail or facsimile transmission will be given by the insurer(s)
- f. It shall be the sole responsibility of the Consultant to determine what additional insurance coverage, if any, are necessary and advisable for its own protection and/or to fulfill its obligation under this agreement. Any such additional insurance shall be maintained and provided at the sole expense of the Consultant.

Automobile Liability Insurance that complies with all requirements of the current legislation of the Province of Ontario, having an inclusive limit of not less than \$2,000,000.00 per occurrence or such greater amount as the City may from time to time request, in respect of the use or operation of licensed vehicles owned or leased by the Consultant for the provisions of services

Non-Owned Automobile Liability Insurance in standard form having an inclusive limit of not less than \$2,000,000.00 per occurrence or such greater amount as the City may from time to time request, in respect of the use or operation of vehicles not owned by the Consultant for the provisions of Services

Professional Liability Insurance (Errors and Omission) Professional Errors & Omissions Liability Insurance is required and will have an inclusive limit of not less that \$2,000,000 or, alternatively, the Consultant shall purchase and maintain in force for the duration of the project, single project Professional Errors & Omissions Liability Insurance with limits dedicated to the Project and having an inclusive limit of not less than \$2,000,000 per claim.

1.16 General

The Consultant will coordinate the site visitations with the City.

All site visitations and interviews will be scheduled by appointment only.

1.17 Performance Evaluation Process

At the completion of each roster assignment, the Project Leader/Engineer and the Project Buyer will conduct an evaluation of the consulting firms overall performance with input from other City Staff involved in the project if applicable. This evaluation will be reviewed with the consulting firm and recommendations will be put forward as to the firms overall suitability of future City of Clarence-Rockland work. It must also be noted that while overall performance is being evaluated, the City reserves the right to suspend a consultant for extreme or repeated inadequate grades on any issues related to health and safety, if applicable to this roster program.

[End of Part 1]

PART 2 - THE DELIVERABLES

1. Introduction

The objective of the Stormwater Master Plan (SMP) is to create the required planning documentation and tools for making operational and capital improvements to the stormwater system, including optimization of the existing infrastructure to comply with regulations and projected growth for the urban area (Rockland) of the City of Clarence-Rockland (the City) for the next 15 years and beyond. The City has completed sanitary (2009) and a potable water (2016) master plans and it is expected that the SMP will be developed in consideration of any overlapping constraints or opportunities, including phasing of planned and approved growth areas.

The SMP is to support all future undertakings relating to stormwater infrastructure for existing development and the 15-year growth projection in the City. This objective is to be met by completing the following tasks:

- a) Use and update the City's existing stormwater collection system shapefiles to enable the development of a stormwater model.
- b) Develop a stormwater model using PC-SWMM (or similar SWMM compatible software). This tool will be used to identify opportunities and constraints within the stormwater system under the existing and buildout scenarios.
- c) Develop a Strategic Phasing Plan (SPP) (in 5 year increments) for upgrades and modification to strategies, and establishing of the necessary criteria/policies for the review of proposed stormwater development system to respond to existing and growth developments.

A consultation program should be undertaken to include feedback from residents, industries, special interest groups, government and non-government agencies.

The SMP will be an instrumental tool in the preparation of Development Charge by-laws, establishing opportunities and constraints within the existing collection system (i.e. allowable release rates), as well as for the preparation of the City's capital works and operating budgets.

Background

Situated immediately east of the City of Ottawa in the United Counties of Prescott and Russell (UCPR), the City of Clarence-Rockland is considered part of the census metropolitan area of Ottawa-Gatineau, and is part of Canada's National Capital Region. It is easily accessed by County Road 17, the main east-west corridor, and has an approximate population of 23,200 citizens.

The study area for this assignment is the City of Clarence-Rockland in its entirety as defined by the urban boundary in the Official Plan plus the special study area, and shown on **Figure A**.

The City of Clarence-Rockland is expecting significant growth in the coming years. Recent census data shows that, as of 2011, the population has increased by 11.5% since 2006. With new residential subdivisions, and development occurring throughout the urban area of the City (Rockland), the existing stormwater collection system may be further taxed and requires additional consideration.

To meet these demands, a Stormwater Master Plan (SMP) is required that considers the needs of all residents. The SMP should be developed in accordance with the Master Planning process outlined in the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment, and, as a minimum, satisfy the requirements of the Phase 1 (need and justification) and Phase 2 (Alternative Solutions) of the EA Process. The SMP will provide the context for implementation of specific stormwater management infrastructure projects and management initiatives.

The goal of the SMP will be to identify existing and future infrastructure deficiencies and opportunities (i.e. residual capacities/allowable release rates), and infrastructure requirements to better serve the citizens of Clarence-Rockland.

The SMP will consider development pressures and will support continued growth within the City. These long range plans will be undertaken for the 5, 10, and 15 year horizon (2033). The SMP must support infrastructure growth that is consistent with the United Counties of Prescott and Russell (UCPR) and the City of Clarence-Rockland. The following documents outline relevant background material that should be reviewed prior to initiation of this assignment:

- UCPR Official Plan – April 2017
- Official Plan of the Urban Area of the City of Clarence-Rockland – October 2014
- Bourget Official Plan – January 2014
-

Stormwater System

Storm runoff is currently handled by a series of local collection systems that outlet to the Ottawa River directly, or indirectly, via one of its tributaries. The collection system includes catch basins, gravity storm sewers, open ditches, roadways, watercourses, and municipal drains. There are over 65km of storm sewers intercepting flow from approximately 1220 catch basins and/or ditch inlets, including approximately 875 maintenance holes. Once captured, storm water runoff is conveyed to either one of the City's creeks, the municipal drains, or directly to the Ottawa River. Figure A provides an overview of the City's stormwater collection system.

2. *Approach*

The City requires a comprehensive planning document that identifies capital and operational needs for the stormwater collection system over the next 15 years, including new works to service growth as described by the population growth (i.e. the buildout condition to 2033) identified in the City's/UCPR Official Plan. The final deliverable for this project is to be a SMP that provides integrated and sustainable infrastructure solutions that the City can use for planning purposes. The buildout assessment is to be provided in 5 year increments.

a) Methodology

The Consultant's methodology is to address, as a minimum, the key requirements described in Sections 3 to 5.

i. Review of Regulatory Framework

The Consultant is to review all policies and legislation that are relevant to the SMP development, including, but not limited to the:

- Planning Act & Environmental Assessment Act
- Environmental Protection Act
- Ontario Water Resources Act
- City of Clarence-Rockland Official and Financial plans
- Development charges by-law
- Sewer use agreement
- Municipal Act and applicable Municipal By-Laws.

ii. Draft City Standards/Guidelines (under development) Review of Background Documents

Data Gap Analysis

The Consultant is to review and analyze all available documentation that is relevant to the SMP development for the purpose of establishing a baseline of the existing infrastructure inventory and operations protocols. Documentation is to include any Class EA studies, engineering design reports, design briefs and drawings, transportation, drainage studies, and operations manuals.

Data Gap Analysis

In addition to the above background information, data has been compiled as part of a geographic information system (GIS) database. Database gaps were identified during a recent review and The Consultant is requested to provide services for the surveying of maintenance holes to fill in these data gaps, within the context of completing this SMP. The following describes the elements and limitations of the GIS database:

Stormwater Collection System GIS

1. Geographic location of above-ground infrastructure (maintenance holes and catch basins). 86% (751 of 876) of the maintenance holes and 94% (1151 of 1221) of the catchbasins have top of grate elevations provided.
2. The portions of the stormwater collection system with missing data require surveying for elevation and inspection to gather data on maintenance hole condition, location, type and size of incoming and outgoing sewers, and sewer invert elevations.

Available Drawings

1. The City has confirmed that drawings are available for much of the area where GIS details are missing.
2. The Consultant will be expected to update the GIS based on these available drawings, and to use this information in the model build process.

Figures **B1**, **B2**, and **B3** show the extent of the City's stormwater collection system and identifies segments where information is missing, and where drawings are available to supplement the GIS.

iii. Confirm Existing Service Areas

The existing and future growth predictions to buildout (2033) have been provided in the Background section. The Consultant will be required to review these with the City's planning department to allocate future development. The City will provide guidance on the location and allocation of future growth areas to ensure these conform with the most recent development plans.

iv. Develop Stormwater Model

The Consultant is to develop a single model that encompasses both the hydrological regime and can conduct hydraulic analyses. The model is to incorporate dual drainage principles and account for both minor and major system capture and conveyance. Two (2) scenarios will need to be modeled:

- Existing Conditions
- Buildout Conditions for 2033

The existing and buildout scenarios will need to be assessed both for the 5, 25, and 100-year events for the minor and major systems. We encourage the Consultants to clearly identify their proposed event distribution/type and event duration(s) as well as their recommended targeted level of service for the minor and major systems.

The following tasks are, as a minimum, required to be carried out:

- Review storm sewer inverts, pipe diameters and maintenance hole locations obtained from the City's GIS database. The Consultant is to validate the existing GIS database and determine if additional surveying of maintenance holes and/or sewers is required to build an all pipe dual drainage model.
 - This surveying is to be completed concurrently to that which is required to address the known data gaps as presented in Figures **B1**, **B2**, and **B3**.
- In addition, the City has areas where storm sewers are not present. Major system road/swale/ditch drainage must be accounted for in these locations.

- As there are areas that are serviced, or suspected of being serviced, by combined sewers in the City, the overlap between the wastewater and stormwater collection systems must be identified and accounted for. (See Figure A)

Survey Requirements

The Consultant shall be required to undertake a survey to identify horizontal and vertical coordinates (GPS) for the top of all maintenance holes where data gaps are known to exist, or where these are identified in the GIS validation task to supplement the GIS data that is currently available (see Figures B1, B2, and B3). The maintenance hole cover at each of these locations shall be removed so that a depth to maintenance hole bottom and all incoming and outgoing sewers can be measured. Photographs are to be taken to confirm the number of pipes, type, size, flow direction and any encumbrances/constraints. Information will be recorded on a standard form that can be scanned and saved in electronic format.

The Consultant is to provide a technical memorandum summarizing the locations, measurement types, and findings (elevations, dimensions, and conditions) as the deliverable for this task.

Health & Safety Considerations

A traffic control plan and safety measures need to be included in this work. We anticipate that data collection can occur without the need for entry into the maintenance hole, which is considered a confined space. After the Consultant has completed the survey, if it is necessary to enter maintenance holes to further investigate, the Consultant shall provide a cost per maintenance hole location to provide confined space entry so that they can collect any missing data. It is the Consultant's responsibility to ensure all applicable training and considerations are taken before undertaking any field investigations and/or surveying.

v. Software Selection

The PC-SWMM modeling platform is suggested for this endeavor. Should the Consultant wish to use different software, this must be SWMM based and approved by the City. The intent is to ensure the selected software is widely accessible, versatile, and compatible with other software. The Consultant should demonstrate experience using their identified software on similarly scoped projects.

vi. Existing Conditions

The development of the existing conditions model will include the following:

- Preparation of a storm drainage area plan (drainage boundaries delineated);
 - The LIDAR data from the UCPR can be used to facilitate this process.
- Identification of minor and major system routes and outlets (i.e. location, size, capacity, elevation, etc.), including hydraulic contribution from offsite drainage areas that flow through the urban infrastructure or drainage channels/municipal drains to discharge to the Ottawa River.
- Development of a dual drainage model for the entire City under existing conditions;
- Evaluation of system performance under existing conditions for the 5, 25 and 100-year design events. The sensitivity of the City's collection system is to be evaluated under normal and the 100-year water level in the Ottawa River.
- Evaluation of existing drainage channel typical section and culvert sizes to accommodate flows.
- Identification of deficient areas and storm sewers;
- Evaluation of potential servicing strategies to alleviate flooding under existing conditions and selection of a preferred alternative;

vii. Future Conditions

The development of the future conditions model will include the following:

- Review future growth areas and establish runoff characteristics of sub-areas;
- Expansion of the model to include considerations for the buildout areas;
- Identify storm infrastructure needs in future growth areas;
- Evaluation of potential servicing strategies to alleviate flooding under buildout conditions and selection of a preferred alternative;

viii. Climate Change Considerations

Following the development of the preferred alternatives for both the existing and future scenarios, the Consultant will stress these with a climate change event and recommend resiliency modifications, if required. The Consultant should explain the process of how this climate change event will be established, as well as how this event will be considered in possibly modifying the preferred alternative.

ix. Water Quality Considerations

In addition to the hydraulic assessment components, the Consultant must identify and assess the effect of urban growth on water quality and describe/recommend measures to limit any negative impacts on the receiving water bodies. Land blocks (location and required sizes) for stormwater management must also be identified. Review the Source Water Protection study to assess if any increases in discharge from growth can impact the travel time and the delineation of the Intake Protection Zone (IPZs).

b) Stormwater Master Plan (SMP)

i. Critical Links and Redundancy Assessment

The Consultant shall assess levels of risk and provide a general overview of available redundancy for all critical stormwater collector sewers. This assessment must take into account the existing needs, and the City's requirements under the buildout condition in 5 year increments.

Identify Existing and Buildout Servicing Constraints

The Consultant is to identify existing and buildout servicing constraints based on the findings of the foregoing assessments and hydraulic modeling exercises. The Consultant is to develop comprehensive problem and/or opportunity statements corresponding to the existing and buildout development scenario.

ii. Identify and Evaluate Alternative Servicing Strategies

iii. The Consultant is to identify the preferred stormwater servicing strategies to guide infrastructure management for the existing and buildout scenarios as follows:

- Identify all feasible stormwater servicing options required to address the problem and/or opportunity statements. Options considered will include new construction, in addition to potential retrofits, rehabilitations, or conservation measures to optimize the efficiency of the existing stormwater systems.
- Identify various screening factors (i.e. social, economic, and environmental) for the high-level screening and evaluation process. These are to be presented to the City for approval of the categories and their weighting. Detailed biological, archaeological, geotechnical and hydrogeological investigations are not required as part of the SMP process.
- Prepare high level (+/- 20%) opinions of all identified capital costs associated with each servicing option.
- Identify the preferred stormwater servicing strategy based on the system assessment findings, screening factors, capital cost estimates etc. for existing and buildout conditions.

iv. Strategic Phasing Plan

The goal of the strategic phasing plan is to consider the preferred stormwater servicing strategies as they relate to the City's sanitary, potable water, and transportation needs; the projected timing of developments; the findings of the critical links and redundancy assessment; and the findings of the City's planned water, wastewater, and stormwater infrastructure conditions assessment. The focus should be on identifying cost savings by looking at the infrastructure needs for the entire City, and not based on specific development needs.

The required deliverable for this strategic phasing plan is three 5-year schedule(s) of projects and required capital funds to enable the City to plan for the needed stormwater infrastructure funding over the 15-year horizon ending in 2033.

v. SMP Report

The Consultant shall present their findings and recommended preferred servicing strategies in a comprehensive Draft SMP Report for the City's review. The report is to include all assessment findings, review of feasible servicing options, and conceptual designs of all new recommended stormwater infrastructure. The report is also to include opinions of probable costs. Any permitting and approval requirements will also be presented in the report, along with recommendations for further studies to be conducted prior to, or during, subsequent design stages.

The Draft SMP Report is also to include a policy gap analysis, based on a review of servicing concept recommendations versus current Official Plan policies and any Provincial Policy Statement related issues, to determine if revisions or additions to the policy documents are required to implement the SMP.

The Draft SMP is to be presented to Council for review and comment. The Consultant shall address all comments received from the City in the Final SMP Report.

3. Meetings, Public Consultation, and Presentations to Council

A Technical Steering Committee (TSC) will be established for this project and will include representatives from the City, the South Nation Conservation Authority, and the Consultant. The Consultant shall develop and carry out a Public/Agency Consultation Plan that will consist of, as a minimum, preparing a comprehensive list of mandatory and other contacts, a project initiation notice that will be placed in the local newspaper and presentation materials for Public Information and City's Council meetings, as indicated below.

- The following TSC meetings will be required over the course of the study:
- Project Initiation;
- Up to 4 Committee Meetings during the completion of the study;
- One Public Information Meeting;
- One Presentation of the Draft SMP Report to Council;
- Attendance at a Council meeting for acceptance of the SMP Report.

The Consultant is also to provide progress updates to the City's Technical Services Director or designated representative via a conference call on a monthly basis. At least five days prior to each conference call, the Consultant shall provide an agenda for discussion, including but not limited to, overall progress, schedule, challenges and any budget or scope issues that may arise.

4. Reporting Requirements

The Consultant is to provide the following deliverables:

- Preparation of a Field Investigation Findings Technical Memorandum that summarizes the results of the surveying task.

- Preparation of an Existing Conditions Report that summarizes the immediate servicing needs and strategies.
 - Preparation of a Future Conditions Report that summarizes potential servicing strategies.
 - Preparation of the SMP Report, including an Executive Summary, a description of the study area, figures, methodology, analysis of existing infrastructure, problem/opportunity statements, analysis and evaluation of infrastructure alternatives and conceptual designs for the preferred servicing strategies required to accommodate population growth for each development scenario, and a strategic phasing plan. This report must meet the requirements of Phase 1 and 2 of the Class EA process to facilitate recognition of previously planned work should a Class EA be required in the future.
 - Hard copies (CD/DVD) and digital copies of all stormwater hydraulic model data and output. A document will also be provided to describe each model provided. Model results (peak flow, hydraulic grade line, and residual capacity) are required to be exported in shapefile and Microsoft Excel format for each scenario considered.
 - The Consultant is to provide software training to City Staff (up to 3 participants) on the final developed model. This training may occur at the Consultant's or at the City's offices. The City currently does not own licenses to any software. The Consultant is to either provide licenses for the training, or aid in coordinating trial versions from the software supplier for use during the training.
 - Digital copies (PDF) and hard copies (3 signed and sealed) of the Final SMP Report and Figures, and presentation materials (digital – PDF) provided at Public Information and Town Council meetings.
 -
- Required review durations for all submitted study deliverables are as follows:
- No less than ten (10) working days shall be provided for TSC review of all draft reports.
 - No less than five (5) working days shall be provided for TSC review of all final reports.

5. Schedule

The Project is to be substantially completed no later than **November 2, 2018**.

6. Services to be Provided by the City of Clarence-Rockland

General direction to the Consultant in the provision of services as required during the term of this Agreement.

Provide permission for use of base mapping and land use information, as required.

- City of Clarence-Rockland Zoning
- Permission to access United Counties of Prescott Russell GIS LIDAR
- Copies of all available studies, engineering design reports and drawings, GIS information including but not limited to:
 - Official Plan and Zoning By-Law.
 - Development Charges and Rate Studies.
 - Drawings (in Adobe format) for municipal roads. (Centerline – AutoCAD format)
 - Geographic Information Systems (GIS) information for sewers.
 - Closed-Circuit Television (CCTV) Inspections of sewers.
 - Records of resident complaints or insurance claims due to flooding.
 - UCPR Official Plan – 2017.
 - Official Plan of the Urban Area of the City of Clarence-Rockland – October 2014
 - Subdivision & site plans drawings & SWM reports

7. Additional Scope of Work



The City of Clarence-Rockland has identified the potential for additional work to be undertaken and is requesting a cost from the Consultant for some of these items in the Schedule of Items & Prices, these being;

- Provide technical documentation and costing for purchase of PC-SWMM, or the proposed software. In addition, one day of training at the City by an experienced person to provide guidance on software.
- Cost for survey at additional maintenance holes to define GPS coordinates, maintenance hole cover and pipe invert elevations, flow direction and pipe type & sizes and to take photos and record conditions based on visual observations.
- Cost for survey for additional maintenance holes (as above) but including confined space entry.

The City may increase the scope of work to include other initiatives that may develop as the study progresses. If this occurs, the City may request the Consultant to provide an estimate of fees for these additional services using the rates identified in the Financial Proposal.

[End of Part 2]

PART 3 - EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

3.1 Stages of Proposal Evaluation

The Purchaser will conduct the evaluation of Proposals in the following five (5) stages:

Stage Number	Title of Evaluation Stage
Stage I	Mandatory Requirements
Stage II	Rated Requirements
Stage III	Pricing
Stage IV	Cumulative Score
Stage V	Tie Break

3.1.1 Stage I – Review of Mandatory Requirements

Stage I will consist of a review to determine which Proposals comply with all of the mandatory requirements.

Proposals which do not comply with all mandatory requirements, may subject to the express and implied rights of the Purchaser, be disqualified and not be evaluated further.

3.1.2 Stage II – Rated Requirements (Appendix “E”)

Stage II will consist of a scoring, by the Purchaser, of each qualified Proposal on the basis of rated requirements.

Rated requirements will be evaluated and Proponents must achieve the minimum score, as noted in order for the Proponent to move into Stage III of the evaluation. Proposals failing to meet the minimum score requirement for a rated requirement will be disqualified and not evaluated further.

Refer to Section 3.1.4 below as it related to reference checks.

3.1.3 Stage III – Pricing

Stage III will consist of an evaluation and scoring of pricing submitted by Proponents as set out in Appendix C. All provisional pricing shall include, without limitation, all professional fees, consulting fees, materials, staff time, sub-consulting fees and other Service fees, all disbursements, costs, expenses, Taxes other than HST, allowances and charges whatsoever, all items and incidentals to be provided pursuant to the RFP and anything properly included as a best practice

3.1.4 Stage IV – Cumulative Score

At the conclusion of Stage III, all scores will be added and, subject to satisfactory reference checks and the expressed and implied rights of the Purchaser, the highest scoring Proponent will become the Preferred Proponent.

Reference checks will be performed to confirm or clarify information provided within the Proponent's Proposal. The reference checks themselves will not be scored, however the Purchaser may adjust rated requirements scoring related to the information obtained during the reference check.

3.1.5 Stage V - Tie Break

At this stage, where two (2) or more Proposals achieve a tie score on completion of the evaluation process, the Purchaser shall break the tie by “flip of a coin”. This action shall be taken in the presence of both Bidders.

3.2 Stage I – Review of Mandatory Requirements (Pass/Fail)

A Proposal must include the following two (2) mandatory forms:

Appendix	Title of Appendix
Appendix B	Form of Offer
Appendix C	Rate Bid Form

Other than inserting the information requested on the mandatory submission forms set out in this RFP, a Proponent may not make any changes to any of the forms. Any Proposal containing any such changes, whether on the face of the form or elsewhere in its Proposal, may be disqualified.

3.2.1 Form of Offer - Appendix B (Mandatory Form)

Each Proposal must include a Form of Offer (Appendix B) completed and signed by the Proponent.

(a) Conflict of Interest

In addition to the other information and representations made by each Proponent in the Form of Offer, each Proponent must declare whether it has an actual or potential Conflict of Interest. If, at the sole and absolute discretion of the Purchaser, the Proponent is found to be in a Conflict of Interest, the Purchaser may, in addition to any other remedies available at law or in equity, disqualify the Proposal submitted by the Proponent.

The Proponent, by submitting the Proposal, warrants that to its best knowledge and belief no actual or potential Conflict of Interest exists with respect to the submission of the Proposal or performance of the contemplated Agreement other than those disclosed in the Form of Offer. Where the Purchaser discovers a Proponent's failure to disclose all actual or potential Conflicts of Interest, the Purchaser may disqualify the Proponent or terminate any Agreement awarded to that Proponent pursuant to this Proposal process.

(b) General

The Purchaser, in addition to any other remedies it may have in law or in equity, shall have the right to rescind any Agreement awarded to a Proponent in the event that the Purchaser determines that the Proponent made a misrepresentation or submitted any inaccurate or incomplete information in the Form of Offer.

Other than inserting the information requested and signing the Form of Offer, a Proponent may not make any changes to or qualify the Form of Offer in its Proposal. A Proposal that includes conditions, options, variations or contingent statements that are contrary to or inconsistent with the terms set out in the RFP may be disqualified. If a Proposal is not disqualified despite such changes or Proposals, the provisions of the Form of Offer as set out in this RFP will prevail over any such changes or Proposals in or to the Form of Offer provided in the Proposal.

3.2.2 Rate Bid Form – Appendix C (Mandatory Form)

The Rate Bid Form, completed by the Proponent in accordance with the instructions contained below and in Appendix C, provided that the following shall apply:

- All prices shall be provided in Canadian funds and shall include all applicable customs duties, tariffs, overhead, materials, fuel, office support, profit, permits, licenses, labour, carriage, insurance, Workplace Safety Insurance Board costs, and warranties, and further shall not be subject to adjustment for fluctuation in foreign exchange rates.
- All prices shall be quoted exclusive of the harmonized sales tax (HST) or other similar taxes, each of which, if applicable, should be stated separately
- All prices quoted, unless otherwise instructed in this RFP, shall remain firm for the Term of the Agreement as set out in the RFP
- Travel, accommodation, and other costs shall be at the Proponent's expense.
- In the event of any discrepancy in the pricing, the lowest unit price submitted shall prevail
- The Proponent is deemed to confirm that it has prepared its Proposal with reference to all of the provisions of the RFP, that it has factored all of the provisions of the Agreement into its pricing assumptions, calculations and into its proposed Pricing.

A Proposal that includes conditional, optional, contingent or variable rates that are not expressly requested in the Rate Bid Form may be disqualified.

3.3 Stage II – Evaluation of Rated Requirements (90)

Proposals that are deemed compliant in Stage I Mandatory Requirements will qualify to be evaluated further.

Rated requirements will be evaluated and, where applicable, Proponents must achieve the minimum score, as noted in order for a Proponent to move into Stage III.

Proposals failing to meet the minimum score requirement for a rated requirement, where applicable, will be disqualified and not evaluated further.

It is important that Proposals clearly provide all the necessary information so that a thorough assessment of the Proponents' experience, qualifications and capabilities can be made. Responses and substantiating documentation should be direct and grouped together with an index provided to ensure the Evaluation Team is able to locate particular information.

In the case that contradictory information or information that contains conditional or qualifying statements is provided with respect to a requirement, the Purchaser will, in its sole and absolute discretion, determine whether the response complies with the requirements, and may seek clarification from the Proponent. The contradictory or qualifying information may result in the Proponent receiving a low score for that particular rated requirement.

Rated Requirements- Refer to Annex "E"

The response to each rated requirement should:

- Be submitted in a complete and clear manner.

- Demonstrate the Proponents understanding of the Purchaser's business needs and should provide a detailed answer to the information requested.
- Be provided in the same sequential order as set out.

A minimum score () for rated requirements, as noted must be achieved for any Proponent to move into Stage III – Pricing.

3.4 Step 2 – Evaluation of Cost Proposals

All Proposals succeeding through step 1 and receiving an evaluation score, as adjusted after the interview (if at all), at or greater than the Benchmark, will have their Form of Proposal – Costing – Step 2 envelope opened and evaluated during step 2.

Provisional Pricing will be evaluated by using the following calculation.
The Purchaser will not accept pricing assumptions.

The below illustrates how points will be calculated - using a relative formula (i.e. by dividing that Proponent's price into the lowest bid price) for proposed pricing on the Rate Bid Form:

EXAMPLE –PRICING EVALUATION		
Proposed Prices	Calculation	Resulting Points
If Proponent 1 proposes on Appendix B the lowest bid price, that Proponent will receive 100% of the possible points.	$\$12.00 \div \$12.00 \times [10]$	[10]
If Proponent 3 bids \$15, it will receive 80% of the possible points.	$\$12.00 \div \$15.00 \times [10]$	[8]
If Proponent 2 bids \$24.00, it will receive 50% of the possible points.	$\$12.00 \div \$24.00 \times [10]$	[5]

The above evaluation will occur for all pricing components for each Eligible Proponent.

3.5 Potential Negotiations

Notwithstanding any other provision contained in this RFP or a Proponent's Proposal, the City reserves the right to negotiate with the recommended Proponent in the following circumstances:

- 3.5.1. Where the lowest cost per point and compliant Proposal exceeds the City's budgeted or estimated costs, the City in its sole and absolute discretion may, but is not obligated to
- 3.5.2. cancel the RFP;
- 3.5.3. re-issue the RFP and accept new proposals based on revised specifications, terms and/or conditions;

- 3.5.4. provide all Proponents, who submitted compliant Proposals to the RFP by the Closing Time, the opportunity to re-submit Proposals;

3.6 Discussions with Preferred Proponent

Subject to the requirements, the Purchaser expects that the Agreement will be executed substantially in the form in which it appears in this RFP.

The Preferred Proponent will have up to ten (10) Days after being notified of the award to sign the Agreement.

After identifying the Preferred Proponent, if any, the Purchaser may attempt to finalize the terms and conditions of the Agreement with the Preferred Proponent, or it may, in its sole discretion, prior to making the award, issue a purchase order to the Preferred Proponent, on terms satisfactory to the Purchaser, as an interim measure.

The Purchaser shall at all times be entitled to exercise its rights under Section 4.6.

For certainty, the Purchaser makes no commitment to the Preferred Proponent that the Agreement will be executed. The Preferred Proponent acknowledges that the commencement of any discussions does not obligate the Purchaser to execute the Agreement.

[End of Part 3]

PART 4 - TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE RFP PROCESS

4.1 General Information and Instructions

4.1.1 RFP Timetable

The following is a summary of the key dates for this RFP process:

RFP Timetable	
Event	Date
Issue Date of RFP:	30-Jan-2018
Proponent Deadline for Questions:	12-Feb-2018
Proposal Submission Deadline:	2:00:00pm on 20-Feb-2018

Note – all times specified in this RFP timetable are local times in Rockland, Ontario, Canada.

Purchaser may change the RFP timetable in its sole and absolute discretion at any time prior to the Proposal Submission Deadline.

In the event a change is made to any of the above dates, the Purchaser will post any such change on MERX™ and the City Web Site.

The Purchaser may amend any timeline, including the Proposal Submission Deadline, without liability, cost, or penalty, and within its sole discretion.

In the event of any change in the Proposal Submission Deadline, the Proponents may thereafter be subject to the extended timeline.

4.1.2 Proposals in English

All submissions of Proposal are to be in English only. Any Proposals received by the Purchaser that are not entirely in the English language may be disqualified.

4.1.3 Purchasers Information in RFP Only an Estimate

The Purchaser makes no representation, warranty or guarantee as to the accuracy of the information contained in this RFP or issued by way of addenda. Any data contained in this RFP or provided by way of addenda are estimates only and are for the sole purpose of indicating to Proponents the general size of the work.

It is the Proponent's responsibility to avail itself of all the necessary information to prepare a Proposal in response to this RFP.

4.1.4 Proponent's Costs

Every Proponent shall bear all costs and expenses incurred by the Proponent relating to any aspect of its participation in this RFP process, including all costs and expenses relating to the Proponent's participation in:

- The preparation, presentation and submission of its Proposal
- The Proponent's attendance at any meeting in relation to the RFP process, including any oral presentation and/or demonstration
- The conduct of any due diligence on its part, including any information gathering activity
- The preparation of the Proponent's own questions prior to the Proposal Submission Deadline
- Any discussion and/or negotiation, if any, in respect of the Agreement

4.2 Communication after RFP Issuance

4.2.1 Contracting Authority Contact Information

All communications regarding any aspect of this RFP must be directed to the following Contracting Authority:

Gerald (Gerry) Lalonde
Manager of Supply & Processes
Cite/City Clarence-Rockland
1560 Laurier Street
Rockland, Ontario
K4K1P7
613-446-6022 Ext 2206
Glalonde@clarence-rockland.com

Proponents that fail to comply with the requirement to direct all communications to the Contracting Authority may be disqualified from RFP process. Without limiting the generality of this provision, Proponents shall not communicate with or attempt to communicate with the following:

- any employee or agent of the Purchaser (other than the Contracting Authority);
- any member of the Evaluation Team;
- any expert or advisor assisting the Evaluation Team;
- any member of the Purchaser's governing body (such as Members of Administration or Members of the Board of Trustees); and
- any elected official of any level of government, including any advisor to any elected official.

4.2.2 Proponents to Review RFP

Proponents shall promptly examine this RFP and:

- Shall report any errors, omissions or ambiguities

- May direct questions or seek additional information in writing, by email, on or before the Proponent's Deadline for Questions to the Contracting Authority

All questions submitted by Proponents by email to the Contracting Authority shall be deemed to be received once the email has entered into the Contracting Authority's email inbox.

In answering a Proponent's questions, the Purchaser will set out the question(s), but without identifying the Proponent that submitted the question(s) and the Purchaser may, in its sole discretion:

- Edit the question(s) for clarity
- Exclude questions that are either unclear or inappropriate
- Answer similar questions from various Proponents only once

Where an answer results in any change to the RFP, such answer will be formally evidenced through the issue of a separate Addendum for this purpose.

No such communications are to be directed to anyone other than Contracting Authority. The Purchaser is under no obligation to provide additional information but may do so at its sole discretion.

It is the responsibility of the Proponent to seek clarification from the Contracting Authority on any matter it considers to be unclear. The Purchaser shall not be responsible for any misunderstanding on the part of the Proponent concerning this RFP or its process.

4.2.3 Proponent to Notify

In the event a Proponent has any reason to believe that any of the circumstances listed in Section 4.2.2 exist, the Proponent must notify the Contracting Authority in writing prior to submitting a Proposal. If appropriate, the Contracting Authority will then clarify the matter for the benefit of all Proponents.

Proponents shall not:

- After submission of a Proposal, claim that there was any misunderstanding or that any of the circumstances set out in Section 4.2.2 were present with respect to the RFP
- Claim that the Purchaser is responsible for any of the circumstances listed in Section 4.2.2 of the this RFP

4.2.4 All New Information to Proponents by way of Addenda

This RFP may only be amended by an addendum in accordance with this section.

If the Purchaser, for any reason, determines that it is necessary to provide additional information relating to this RFP, such information will be communicated to all Proponents by addenda by way of, MERX™ and the City Web page. Each addendum shall form an integral part of this RFP.

Any amendment or supplement to this RFP made in any other manner will not be binding on the Purchaser.

Such addenda may contain important information including significant changes to this RFP. Proponents are responsible for obtaining all addenda issued by the Purchaser. In the space provided in the Form of Offer, Proponents shall confirm its receipt of all addenda by setting out the number of addenda in the space provided in the Form of Offer.

Proponents who intend to respond to this RFP are requested not to cancel the receipt of addenda or amendments option provided by MERX™, since they must obtain them through MERX™.

In the event that a Proponent chooses to cancel the receipt of addenda or amendments, its Proposal may be rejected.

4.3 Proposal Submission Requirements

4.3.1 General

To be considered in the RFP process, a Proponent's Proposal must be received **on or before** the Proposal Submission Deadline as set out in Section 4.1.1, in a sealed package and should bear the Proponent's name, return address, and RFP number, and the Contracting Authority's name.

Proposals received after the Proposal Submission Deadline shall not be considered and shall be returned to the Proponent unopened. Regardless of the method of delivery—chosen by Proponent (such as courier, delivery service, Canada Post), each Proponent is responsible for the actual delivery of its Proposal to the address set out below:

**City Clarence-Rockland
1560 Laurier Street
Client Services
Rockland, Ontario
K4K1P7
(Attn :Gerald Lalonde)
Manager of Supply & Processes
Glalonde@clarence-rockland.com**

Proposals transmitted by facsimile or sent by any other electronic means shall not be considered. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in any applicable statute relating to electronic documents transactions, including the *Electronic Commerce Act, 2000*, S.O. 2000, c. 17, any notice, submission, statement, or other instrument provided in respect of the RFP may not be validly delivered by way of electronic communication, unless otherwise provided for in this RFP.

The Proponent should identify and mark any trade secret or proprietary intellectual property in its Proposal.

4.3.2 Proposal Submission Requirements

Proposals should be submitted in accordance with the instructions set out in this RFP. The Consultant will provide a final report in hard copy bound, with tabbed sections. Three (3) copies of the report to be provided as described as well as two (2) Electronic Copies on two (2) reproducible USB/CD's

Proposals should be completed without delineations, alterations, or erasures. In the event of a discrepancy between the original paper copy of a Proposal and any of the copies, the original shall prevail.

Please **do not** include any financial information in envelope 1 – Proposal.

Proposals should be submitted in **two (2) separate sealed envelopes**, clearly marked as follows:

Envelope 1 – Proposal, to include:

- One (1) bound original (prominently marked “original”) of the Proposal. (Excluding Appendix C)
- Two (2) unbound photocopies of the Proposal including all Appendices. (Excluding Appendix C)
- Two (2) searchable and not locked soft copy (i.e. USB or CD) of the Proposal including all Appendices. (Excluding Appendix C)

Envelope 2 – Financial Information, to include:

- One (1) original (prominently marked “original”) of Appendix C.
- One (1) photocopy of Appendix C.
- One (1) searchable and not locked soft copy (i.e. USB or CD) of Appendix C in Microsoft Excel format.

Proposals submitted in any other manner may be subject to disqualification.

In the event of a conflict or inconsistency between the hard copy and the soft copy of the Proposal (including Appendix C), the “**original**” version of the Proposal shall prevail.

Changes to the content or format of the Declaration may disqualify the submission.

Proposal should be clearly marked with RFP title and number, closing date and time, the Purchaser’s address, Proponent name and contact person.

Proposals should be prepared simply and provide a straightforward concise description of the Proponent’s capabilities for satisfying the requirements of the Owner’s Proposal call. Emphasis should be on completeness and clarity of content. Proposals should be no more than fifteen (15) pages;. Appendices, CVs, resumes are not to be considered in the number of pages.

4.3.3 Other Proposal Considerations

In preparing its Proposal, the Proponent should adhere to the following:

- All pages should be numbered
- Any embedded literature links within a Proposal should be a direct link to the Services page rather than the Proponent’s main website. Where literature links are not possible, a PDF document may also be incorporated within the Proposal. Information contained in any embedded link will not be considered part of a Proposal, and will not be evaluated or scored.
- The Appendices provided, as appropriate, should be used for completing the Proposal.

- Completely address, on a point-by-point basis, each requirement identified in Appendix E and the Proposal should be complete in all respects.
- Adhere to the Proposal format requirements described above.
- Respond to the requirements in the applicable appendices, or as may be directed in this RFP.

4.3.4 Proposal Receipt by Purchaser

Every Proposal received will be date/time stamped at the location referred to in Section 4.3.1 and a receipt will be provided upon request.

A Proponent should allow sufficient time in the preparation of its Proposal to ensure its Proposal is received by **on or before** the Proposal Submission Deadline.

4.3.5 Withdrawal of Proposal

A Proponent may withdraw its Proposal only by providing written notice to the Contracting Authority before the Proposal Submission Deadline. A Proposal may not be withdrawn after the Proposal Submission Deadline. The Purchaser has no obligation to return withdrawn Proposals.

4.3.6 Amendment of Proposal

A Proponent may amend its Proposal after submission, but only if the Proposal is amended and resubmitted before the Proposal Submission Deadline.

The Proponent must provide notice to the Contracting Authority in writing and replace its Proposal with a revised Proposal, in accordance with the requirements of this RFP.

The Purchaser has no obligation to return amended Proposals.

4.3.7 Completeness of Proposal

By submitting a Proposal, the Proponent confirms that all of the components required to use and/or manage the Services have been identified in its Proposal or will be provided to the Purchaser at no additional charge. Any requirement that may be identified by the Proponent after the Proposal Submission Deadline or subsequent to signing the Agreement shall be provided at the Proponent's expense.

4.3.8 Proponent's Proposals Retained by Purchaser

All Proposals submitted by the Proposal Submission Deadline shall become the property of the Purchaser and will not be returned to the Proponents.

4.3.9 Proposal Irrevocability

Subject to a Proponent's right to withdraw a Proposal in accordance with the procedure described in Section 4.3.5, a Proposal shall be irrevocable by the Proponent for ninety (90) Days from the Proposal Submission Deadline.

4.3.10 Acceptance of RFP

By submitting a Proposal, a Proponent agrees to accept and to be bound by all of the terms and conditions contained in this RFP, and by all of the representations, terms, and conditions contained in its Proposal.

4.3.11 Amendments to Proposals

Subject to Section 4.1.1 and Section 4.2.4, the Purchaser shall have the right to amend or supplement this RFP in writing prior to the Proposal Submission Deadline. No other statement, whether written or oral, shall amend this RFP. The Proponent is responsible to ensure it has received all Addenda.

4.3.12 Proposals will not be Opened Publicly

Proponents are advised that there will not be a public opening of this RFP. The Purchaser will open Proposals at a time subsequent to the Proposal Submission Deadline.

4.3.13 Clarification of Proponent's Proposals

The Purchaser shall have the right at any time after the RFP Proposal Submission Deadline to seek clarification from any Proponent in respect of the Proponent's Proposal, without contacting any other Proponent.

The Purchaser shall not be obliged to seek clarification of any aspect of any Proposal.

Any clarification sought shall not be an opportunity for the Proponent to either correct errors or to change its Proposal in any substantive manner. Subject to the qualification in this provision, any written information received by the Purchaser from a Proponent in response to a request for clarification from the Purchaser may be considered to form an integral part of the Proponent's Proposal, in the Purchaser's sole discretion.

4.3.14 Verification of Information

The Purchaser shall have the right, in its sole discretion, to:

- Verify any Proponent's statement or claim made in the Proponent's Proposal or made subsequently in an interview, site visit, oral presentation, demonstration, or discussion by whatever means the Purchaser may deem appropriate, including contacting persons in addition to those offered as references, and to reject any Proponent statement or claim, if such statement or claim or its Proposal is patently unwarranted or is questionable.
- Access the Proponent's premises where any part of the work is to be carried out to confirm Proposal information, quality of processes, and to obtain assurances of viability, provided that, prior to providing such access, the Proponent and the Purchaser shall have agreed on access terms including pre-notification, extent of access, security and confidentiality. The Purchaser and the Proponent shall each bear its own costs in a connection with access to the Purchaser's premises.

The Proponent shall co-operate in the verification of information and is deemed to consent to the Purchaser verifying such information, including references.

4.3.15 Proposal Acceptance

The lowest price Proposal or any Proposal shall not necessarily be accepted. While price is an evaluation criterion, other evaluation criteria, as set out in Section 3.3 will form a part of the evaluation process.

4.3.16 RFP Incorporated into Proposal

All provisions of this RFP are deemed to be accepted by each Proponent and incorporated into each Proponent's Proposal.

4.3.17 Exclusivity of Contract

The Agreement, if any, executed with the Preferred Proponent will not be an exclusive agreement for the provision of the described Deliverables.

4.3.18 Substantial Compliance

The Purchaser shall be required to reject Proposals, which are not substantially compliant with this RFP.

4.3.19 No Publicity or Promotion

No Proponent, including the Preferred Proponent, shall make any public announcement or distribute any literature regarding this RFP or otherwise promote itself in connection with this RFP or any arrangement entered into under this RFP without the prior written approval of the Purchaser.

In the event that a Proponent, including the Preferred Proponent, makes a public statement either in the media or otherwise in breach of this requirement, in addition to any other legal remedy it may have in law, in equity or within the context of this RFP, the Purchaser shall be entitled to take all reasonable steps as may be deemed necessary by the Purchaser, including disclosing any information about a Proponent's Proposal, to provide accurate information and/or to rectify any false impression which may have been created.

4.4 Execution of Agreement, Notification and Debriefing

4.4.1 Selection of Proponent

Purchaser anticipates that Proponents will be selected within five (5) days of the Proposal Submission Deadline. Notice of selection by the Purchaser to the Preferred Proponent will be in writing by email from the Contracting Authority.

4.4.2 Failure to Enter Into Agreement

In addition to all of Purchaser's other remedies, if the Preferred Proponent fails to execute the Agreement or satisfy any other applicable conditions within fifteen (15) Days of notice of selection, the Purchaser may, in its sole and absolute discretion and without incurring any liability, rescind the selection of that Proponent.

4.4.3 Notification to Other Proponents of Outcome of RFP Process

Once the Suppliers(s) and Purchaser execute the Agreement, the other Proponents will be notified in writing by email of the outcome of the RFP process, including the name of the Suppliers(s), and the award of the Agreement.

4.4.4 Debriefing

Not later than sixty (60) Days following the date of posting of a contract award notification in respect of the RFP, a Proponent may contact the Contracting Authority requesting a debriefing from the Purchaser.

Any request that is not timely received will not be considered and the Proponent will be notified in writing.

The Purchaser will not disclose submission information from other Proponents.

The intent of the debriefing information session is to aid the Proponent in presenting a better Proposal in subsequent procurement opportunities. Any debriefing provided is not for the purpose of providing an opportunity to challenge the procurement process.

4.4.5 Bid Dispute Resolution

In the event that a Proponent wishes to review the decision of the Purchaser in respect of any material aspect of the RFP process, and subject to having attended a debriefing, the Proponent shall submit a protest in writing to the Purchaser within ten (10) Days from such a debriefing.

Any protest in writing that is not timely received will not be considered and the Proponent will be notified in writing.

A protest in writing shall include the following:

- A specific identification of the provision and/or procurement procedure that is alleged to have been breached.
- A specific description of each act alleged to have breached the procurement process.
- A precise statement of the relevant facts.
- An identification of the issues to be resolved.
- The Proponent's arguments and supporting documentation.
- The Proponent's requested remedy.

4.5 Prohibited Communications, Confidential Information and FIPPA

4.5.1 Confidential Information of the Purchaser

All correspondence, documentation, and information of any kind provided to any Proponent in connection with or arising out of this RFP or the acceptance of any Proposal:

- Remains the property of the Purchaser and shall be removed from the Purchaser's premises only with the prior written consent of the Purchaser.
- Must be treated as confidential and shall not be disclosed except with the prior written consent of the Purchaser.
- Must not be used for any purpose other than for replying to this RFP and for the fulfillment of any related subsequent agreement.
- Must be returned to the Purchaser upon request.

4.5.2 Confidential Information of the Proponent

Except as provided otherwise in this RFP, or as may be required by Applicable Laws, the Purchaser shall treat the Proponents' Proposals and any information gathered in any related process as confidential, provided that such obligation shall not include any information that is

or becomes generally available to the public other than as a result of disclosure by the Purchaser.

During any part of this RFP process, the Purchaser or any of its representatives or agents shall be under no obligation to execute a confidentiality agreement.

In the event that a Proponent refuses to participate in any required stage of the RFP (such as an oral presentation) because the Purchaser has refused to execute any such confidentiality agreement, the Proponent shall receive no points for that particular stage of the evaluation process.

4.5.3 Proponent's Submission

All correspondence, documentation, and information provided in response to or because of this RFP may be reproduced for the purposes of evaluating the Proponent's Proposal.

If a portion of a Proponent's Proposal is to be held confidential, such provisions must be clearly identified in the Proposal.

4.5.4 Personal Information

Personal Information shall be treated as follows:

- Submission of Information – The Proponent should not submit as part of its Proposal any information related to the qualifications or experience of persons who will be assigned to provide services unless specifically requested. The Purchaser shall maintain the information for a period of seven (7) years from the time of collection. Should the Purchaser request such information, the Purchaser will treat this information in accordance with the provisions of this section.
- Use – Any personal information as defined in the Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act, S.C. 2005, c.5 that is requested from each Proponent by the Purchaser shall only be used to select the qualified individuals to undertake the project/services and to confirm that the work performed is consistent with these qualifications.
- Consent – It is the responsibility of each Proponent to obtain the consent of such individuals prior to providing the information to the Purchaser. The Purchaser will consider that the appropriate consents have been obtained for the disclosure to and use by the Purchaser of the requested information for the purposes described.

4.5.5 Non-Disclosure Agreement

The Purchaser reserves the right to require any Proponent to enter into a non-disclosure agreement satisfactory to the Purchaser.

4.5.6 Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act

The *Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* (Ontario) applies to information provided by Proponents. A Proponent should identify any information in its Proposal or any accompanying documentation supplied in confidence for which confidentiality is to be maintained by the Purchaser. The confidentiality of such information will be maintained by the Purchaser, except as otherwise required by law or by order of a court, tribunal, or the Ontario Privacy Commissioner.

By submitting a Proposal, including any Personal Information requested in this RFP, Proponents agree to the use of such information for the evaluation process, for any audit of this procurement process, and for contract management purposes.

4.5.7 Competition Act

Under Canadian law, a Proponent's Proposal must be prepared without conspiracy, collusion, or fraud. For more information on this topic, visit the Competition Bureau website at <http://www.cb-bc.gc.ca/eic/site/cb-bc.nsf/eng/01240.html>, and in particular, part VI of the *Competition Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-34.

4.5.8 Trade Agreements

Proponents should note that procurements coming within the scope of either Chapter 5 of the Agreement on Internal Trade or within the scope of the Trade and Cooperation Agreement between Quebec and Ontario are subject to such agreements, although the rights and obligations of the parties shall be governed by the specific terms of this RFP.

For more information, please refer to the Internal Trade Secretariat website at www.ait-aci.ca/ or to the Trade and Cooperation Agreement between Quebec and Ontario at <http://www.marcan.net/assets/trade%20arrangements/Quebec-Ontario%20Trade%20and%20Cooperation%20Agreement%20English.pdf>.

4.5.9 Intellectual Property

The Proponent shall not use any intellectual property of the Purchaser, including but not limited to, logos, registered trademarks, or trade names of the Purchaser, at any time without the prior written approval of the Purchaser.

4.6 Reserved Rights and Governing Law of the Purchaser

4.6.1 General

In addition to any other express rights or any other rights, which may be, implied in the circumstances, the Purchaser reserves the right to:

- Make public the names of any or all Proponents
- Request written clarification or the submission of supplementary written information from any Proponent and incorporate such clarification or supplementary written information into the Proponent's Proposal, at the Purchaser's discretion, provided that any clarification or submission of supplementary written information shall not be an opportunity for the Proponent to correct errors in its Proposal or to change or enhance the Proponent's Proposal in any material manner.
- Waive formalities and accept Proposals that substantially comply with the requirements of this RFP, in the Purchaser's sole discretion.
- Verify with any Proponent or with a third party any information set out in a Proposal, as described in Section 4.3.14.
- Check references other than those provided by Proponents.
- Disqualify any Proponent whose Proposal contains misrepresentations or any other inaccurate or misleading information, or any Proponent whose reasonable failure to cooperate with the Purchaser impedes the evaluation process, or whose Proposal is determined to be non-compliant with the requirements of this RFP.

- Disqualify a Proposal where the Proponent has or the principals of a Proponent have previously breached a contract with the Purchaser, or has otherwise failed to perform such contract to the reasonable satisfaction of the Purchaser, the Proponent has been charged or convicted of an offence in respect of a contract with the Purchaser, or the Proponent reveals a Conflict of Interest or Unfair Advantage in its Proposal or a Conflict of Interest or evidence of any Unfair Advantage is brought to the attention of the Purchaser.
- Disqualify any Proposal of any Proponent who has breached any Applicable Laws or who has engaged in conduct prohibited by this RFP, including where there is any evidence that the Proponent or any of its employees or agents colluded with any other Proponent, its employees or agents in the preparation of the Proposal.
- Make changes, including substantial changes, to this RFP provided that those changes are issued by way of addenda in the manner set out in this RFP.
- Accept or reject a Proposal if only one (1) Proposal is submitted.
- Reject a subcontractor proposed by a Proponent within a consortium.
- Select any Proponent other than the Proponent whose Proposal reflects the lowest cost to the Purchaser.
- Cancel this RFP process at any stage and issue a new RFP for the same or similar requirements, including where
 - The Purchaser determines it would be in the best interest of the Purchaser not to award an Agreement
 - The Proposal prices exceed the bid prices received by the Purchaser for services acquired of a similar nature and previously done work
 - The Proposal prices exceed the costs the Purchaser would incur by doing the work, or most of the work, with its own resources
 - The Proposal prices exceed the funds available for the Services, or
 - The funding for the acquisition of the proposed Services have been revoked, modified, or has not been approved

and where the Purchaser cancels this RFP, the Purchaser may do so without providing reasons, and the Purchaser may thereafter issue a new request for proposals, request for qualifications, sole source, or do nothing.

- Discuss with any Proponent different or additional terms to those contained in this RFP or in any Proponent's Proposal, and
- Reject any or all Proposals in its absolute discretion, including where a Proponent has launched legal proceedings against the Purchaser or is otherwise engaged in a dispute with the Purchaser.
- By submitting a Proposal, the Proponent authorizes the collection by the Purchaser of the information identified in this RFP, which the Purchaser may request from any third party.

4.6.2 Rights of the Purchaser – Preferred Proponent

In the event that the Preferred Proponent fails or refuses to execute the Agreement within 15 Days from being notified of its position as the Preferred Proponent, the Purchaser may, in its sole discretion:

- Extend the period for concluding the Agreement, provided that if substantial progress towards executing the Agreement is not achieved within a reasonable period of time from such extension, the Purchaser may, in its sole discretion, terminate the discussions.
- Exclude the Preferred Proponent's Proposal from further consideration and begin discussions with the next highest-ranked Proponent without becoming obligated to offer to negotiate with all Proponents.
- Exercise any other applicable right set out in this RFP, including but not limited to, cancelling the RFP and issuing a new RFP for the same or similar Services.

The Purchaser may also cancel this RFP in the event the Preferred Proponent fails to obtain any of the permits, licences, and approvals required pursuant to this RFP.

4.6.3 No Liability

The Proponent agrees that:

- Any action or proceeding relating to this RFP process shall be brought in any court of competent jurisdiction in the Province of Ontario and for that purpose the Proponent irrevocably and unconditionally attorns and submits to the jurisdiction of that Ontario court.
- It irrevocably waives any right to and shall not oppose any Ontario action or proceeding relating to this RFP process on any jurisdictional basis.
- It shall not oppose the enforcement against it, in any other jurisdiction, of any judgement or order duly obtained from an Ontario court as contemplated by this RFP.

The Proponent further agrees that if the Purchaser commits a material breach of the Purchaser's obligations pursuant to this RFP, the Purchaser's liability to the Proponent, and the aggregate amount of damages recoverable against the Purchaser for any matter relating to or arising from that material breach, whether based upon an action or claim in contract, warranty, equity, negligence, intended conduct, or otherwise, including any action or claim arising from the acts or omissions, negligent or otherwise, of the Purchaser, shall be no greater than the Proposal preparation costs that the Proponent seeking damages from the Purchaser can demonstrate. In no event shall the Purchaser be liable to the Proponent for any breach of the Purchaser's obligations pursuant to this RFP which does not constitute a material breach thereof. The Proponent acknowledges and agrees that the provisions of the *Broader Public Sector Accountability Act, 2010* shall apply notwithstanding anything contained herein.

4.6.4 Assignment

The Proponent shall not assign any of its rights or obligations hereunder during the RFP process without the prior written consent of the Purchaser. Any act in derogation of the foregoing shall be null and void.

4.6.5 Entire RFP

This RFP and all Appendices form an integral part of this RFP.

4.6.6 Priority of Documents

In the event of any inconsistencies between the terms, conditions, and provisions of the main part of the RFP and the Appendices, the RFP shall prevail over the Appendices during the RFP process.

4.6.7 Governing Law

The laws of Ontario and the federal laws of Canada applicable shall govern the RFP, the Proponent's Proposal, and any resulting Agreement therein.

4.6.8 Indemnification

The Consultant shall indemnify and save harmless the City and its employees, officers and agents from and against all claims, actions, losses, expenses, costs or damages of every nature and kind whatsoever which the City, its employees, officers or agents may suffer as a result of the negligence or breach of contract of the Consultant, its employees, officers or agents in the performance of this Agreement, subject to the following:

- **CITY PROVIDED INFORMATION:** The City further acknowledges and agrees that the Consultant will not be held liable for any damages / losses of any kind or nature that may result from the use of inaccurate information or data provided to Consultant by the City. The City agrees to accept full responsibility for the accuracy of all information and data that it provides to the Consultant.
- **WORK PRODUCT LIMIT:** The City further acknowledges and agrees that the Consultant cannot warrant the fitness of any records, documents or work product that are (i) incomplete due to early termination or suspension of this agreement; or (ii) altered, revised, amended, or modified without the consent or knowledge of the Consultant.

4.6.9 Force Majeure

A party hereto shall not be responsible for failures in performance due to Force Majeure.

"Force Majeure" means any circumstance or act beyond the reasonable control of a party to this Agreement claiming Force Majeure, including an intervening act of God or public enemy, war, blockade, civil commotion, fire, flood, tidal wave, earthquake, epidemic, quarantine restriction, a stop-work order or injunction, issued by a court or public authority having jurisdiction, or governmental embargo, which delays the performance of any obligation created by this Agreement beyond its scheduled time, provided such circumstance or act is not expressly dealt with under this Agreement or does not arise by reason of:

- the negligence or wilful misconduct of the party claiming Force Majeure or those for whom it is responsible at law;
- any act or omission by the party claiming Force Majeure (or those for whom it is responsible at law) in breach of the provisions of this Agreement;
- lack or insufficiency of funds or failure to make payment of monies or provide required security; provided further that, in the case of an event of Force Majeure affecting the Consultant, the Consultant notifies the City as soon as possible and in any event within five (5) Business Days following the date upon which the Consultant first becomes aware (or should have been aware, using all reasonable due diligence) of such event so that the City may verify same.

In any such event, Consultants agreement and the price and schedule referred to herein shall be equitably adjusted based on the actual work authorized and performed satisfactorily, and the rates agreed to in the Agreement.

[End of Part 4]



APPENDIX A – N/A



APPENDIX B – FORM OF OFFER

Each Proposal **must** include this form **completed** and **signed** by the Proponent.

To: Gerald Lalonde

1. Proponent Information

The full legal name of the Proponent is:	
Any other relevant name under which the Proponent carries on business is:	
The jurisdiction under which the Proponent is governed is:	
The name, address, telephone and fax numbers, and email address of the contact person for the Proponent is:	
Whether the Proponent is an individual, a sole proprietorship, a corporation, a partnership, a joint venture, an incorporated consortium or a consortium that is a partnership or other legally recognized entity:	
<p>If the Proponent is an incorporated consortium or a consortium that is a partnership or other legally recognize entity, the Proponent must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify the single legal entity, which is solely liable and responsible to the Purchaser for the provision of the Deliverables (as required by Section 1.6 of this RFP). • Describe the consortium members. • Describe the contingency plan if a consortium member is no longer part of the consortium. 	

2. Offer

The Bidder declares that:

(a) No persons, other than the Bidder, have any interest in this RFP or in the Contract proposed to be entered into.

(b) This Submission is made without any connection, knowledge, comparison of figures, or arrangement with any other person or persons making a Submission for the same work, and is in all respects fair and without collusion or fraud.

(c) The several matters stated in the said Submission are in all respects true.



(d) The Bidder has carefully examined the locality and site of the proposed works, as well as all the RFP Documents, and hereby accepts the same as part and parcel of this Submission, and does as hereby tender and offer to enter into a Contract to do all the work, provide the labour and to provide, furnish, deliver, place and erect all materials mentioned and described or implied therein, including in every case freight, duty, exchange, and all other charges on the terms and conditions, and under the provisions therein set forth, and to accept in full payment therefore in accordance with the schedule of prices hereto annexed, and the Bidder also agrees that this offer is to remain open for acceptance until the formal Contract is executed by the successful Bidder for said work, and that the Owner may at any time without notice accept this Submission whether any other Submission has been previously accepted or not.

(e) The prices offered in this schedule take into account in all respects the cost of execution of the work under all weather conditions and any water level.

(f) The work is to commence a maximum of 15 days after notice of Award has been issued.

(g) In making this Submission for the work and in entering into the Contract, if awarded to this Bidder, the Bidder has investigated for himself the character of the work to be done and all local conditions that might affect this Submission and his acceptance of the work.

(h) The Bidder also declares that in making this Submission, he/she did not and does not rely upon verbal information furnished by the Owner or Engineer.

The Bidder acknowledges that the Owner shall have the right to reject any, or all, Tenders for any reason, or to accept any Tender which the Owner in its sole unfettered discretion deems most advantageous to itself.

The Bidder does hereby acknowledge that no damages or liability flow from the inability for a contract to be reached and does hereby release and hold completely harmless the Owner for any costs or damages incurred by the Bidder in preparing a Proposal or discussing/negotiating with the Owner. By submitting a Tender, the Bidder acknowledges the Owner's rights under this section and absolutely waives any right, or cause of action against the Owner and its consultants, by reason of the Owner's failure to accept the Tender submitted by the Bidder, whether such right or cause of action arises in contract, negligence, or otherwise.

The lowest, or any, Tender will not necessarily be accepted and the Owner shall have the unfettered right to:

- (i) Accept a non-compliant Tender;
- (ii) Accept a Tender which is not the lowest Tender; and
- (iii) Reject a Tender that is the lowest Tender even if it is the only Tender received.

The Owner reserves the right to consider, during the evaluation of Tenders;

- (i) information provided in the Tender document itself;
- (ii) information provided in response to enquiries of credit and industry references set out in the Tender;
- (iii) information received in response to enquiries made by the Owner of third parties apart from those disclosed in the Tender in relation to the reputation, reliability, experience and capabilities of the Bidder;
- (iv) the manner in which the Bidder provides services to others;
- (v) the experience and qualification of the Bidder's senior management, and project management;

- (vi) the compliance of the Bidder with the Owner's requirements and specifications; and
- (vii) innovative approaches proposed by the Bidder in the Tender;
- (viii) whether the Bidder has been involved in litigation with the Owner during the last sixty (60) months before the date of this RFP.

The Bidder acknowledges that the Owner may rely upon the criteria which the Owner deems relevant, even though such criteria may not have been disclosed to the Bidder.

3. Prices

The Proponent has submitted its Pricing in accordance with the instructions in the RFP and in the form set out in Appendix C.

4. Mandatory Forms

The Proponent encloses herewith as part of the Proposal, the mandatory forms as set out below:

Mandatory Forms	Include in Proposal as set out in Section 4.3.2	Yes, Enclosed
Appendix B – Form of Offer	Envelope 1	
Appendix C – Rate Bid Form	Envelope 2	

5. Addenda and Questions/Answers

The Proponent is deemed to have read and accepted all addenda and question/answer documents issued by the Purchaser prior to the Deadline for Issuing Final Addenda.

The onus remains on Proponents to make any necessary amendments to its Proposals based on this information.

The Proponent is requested to confirm that it has received all addenda and question/answer documents, if any, by completing the applicable column in the below table:

Addenda and Question/Answers	Complete this column by inserting the number/quantity received:
The number of addenda received =	
The number of question/answer documents received =	

6. Proposal Irrevocable

The Proponent agrees that its Proposal shall be irrevocable for ninety (90), Days following the Proposal Submission Deadline.

7. Disclosure of Information



APPENDIX C - RATE BID FORM

The Proponent should use Appendix C to respond to Section 3.2.2 – Rate Bid Form. Where a Deliverable is not available, insert N/A (denoting not applicable) in the space provided. The information listed below is not a complete description. All Proponents should refer to and review the applicable sections in the RFP before responding.

	Service Description	Price
1	[Insert Service Deliverable 1]	



APPENDIX D – REFERENCE FORM

Each Proponent is required to provide three (3) references from organizations similar in size and scope to the Purchaser's for which the Proponent has provided the same or similar Deliverables within the past three (3) years.

The Purchaser, in its sole discretion, will confirm the Proponent's experience and or ability to provide the services required and described in its Proposal by checking the Proponent's references and the provision of the references by the Proponent is deemed to be consent to such confirmation/contact with the references.

The Purchaser reserves the right to revisit the Proponent's scores in the rated requirements based on information learned during reference checks, should they reveal that there is inconsistency between the Proponent's answers to the rated requirements and the results of the reference check.

Reference #1

Company name:	
Company address:	
Contact name:	
Contact telephone number:	
Contact email address:	
Date work undertaken:	From: _____ To: _____
Nature of services:	

Reference #2

Company name:	
Company address:	
Contact name:	
Contact telephone number:	
Contact email address:	
Date work undertaken:	From: _____ To: _____
Nature of services:	

Reference #3

Company name:	
Company address:	
Contact name:	
Contact telephone number:	
Contact email address:	
Date work undertaken:	From: _____ To: _____
Nature of services:	

APPENDIX E – RATED REQUIREMENTS

1.0. Evaluation Criteria

1.1.1. The criteria in Table 1 will be used in the step 1 evaluation. Proposal submissions must address these criteria in the identification and order protocol shown below. Not following this identification and order creates the risk to the Proponent that, even if the information has been provided, it may be overlooked and consequently not considered in evaluation of the Proponent's score.

1.1.2. The following is a table listing the evaluation categories, indicating:

- i) the maximum score attainable in each category; and
- ii) the overall minimum score that must be attained ("Benchmark") in order to proceed to step 2 of the evaluation.

Criteria for the Evaluation of Proposals

TABLE 1

Evaluation Criteria	Points
<p>A. Proponent's Corporate Qualifications and Experience Proponents shall provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualifications, expertise and past performance on projects of similar size/complexity (preferably in municipalities). • A minimum of two (2) client references, including client contact information from projects of a similar size and scope completed within the past five (5) years. For each reference, provide a detailed project description/example including scope of work, budgets, photographs and project locations. • Detailed information on the number of years in business and general qualifications and profile of the company (including size of company, areas of expertise and capacity to provide additional technical and human resources if required). 	10
<p>B. Proponent's Project Lead's Qualifications and Experience Proponents shall provide the following information regarding the Project Lead:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Qualifications, expertise and past performance on projects of similar size and complexity. • A minimum of three project examples working with groups demonstrating relevant experience. • Description of the project lead's respective role and availability for the Project including capacity to respond to critical time and complex scope requirements • Resume to include work experience of projects of similar type, scope and scale as the proposed Project and where the scope of professional services is similar. This work experience should have been gained within the last five (5) years. 	15

<p>The City reserves the right, at their sole discretion, to reject a Proponent's Proposal if it is determined by the RFP Evaluation Team that the proposed Project Manager lacks the required qualifications to perform the task of Project Manager. Their decision regarding this matter will be final.</p>	
<p>C. Proponent's Team Qualifications and Experience Proponents must demonstrate the capability and experience of the team (including Sub-consultants) to provide the quality of services and commitment to a timeline, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completeness of the <u>team</u> roles, experience of the key individuals who will be part of the team for this project. • Sufficient staff and technical resources to meet the timeframes of the work plan. • Demonstrated commitment to provide qualified resources to the Project. <p>For each of the "Key Members" of the Proponents Team (including all Sub-consultants to be utilized on the Project), Proponents shall provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • qualifications, expertise and past performance on projects of similar size and complexity. • description of the key member's respective role and availability for the Project including capacity to respond to critical time and complex scope requirements. • resume for each key member to include work experience of projects of similar type, scope and scale as the proposed Project and where the scope of professional services is similar. This work experience should have been gained within the last five (5) years. Please limit resumes to two (2) pages per person. <p>Proponents should identify if the proposed Proponent team has worked together in past projects.</p>	<p>15</p>
<p>D. Comprehensive Project Understanding, Approach & Methodology</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide details of your understanding of this Project (responses that incorporate large blocks of text that have been copied directly from the Terms of Reference will not be regarded as demonstrating an understanding of the requirements); • Describe your approach and methodology as they relate to the objectives and scope of work detailed in the Terms of Reference; • Identify any anticipated challenges to the Project; • Outline and describe in detail any other options, innovative ideas or suggestions that would result in cost savings or enhance overall Project value. 	<p>25</p>

<p>E. Project Schedule and Work Plan Proponents shall provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Work Plan and Time Schedule in Days - a detailed work plan and time schedule (with dates and the required number of hours) for each of the team members during the stages of the Project. Include a breakdown of the major tasks, start date and end date, scope of work to be completed, Project meetings, staff review, critical paths in schedule, along with all other Project milestones. Highlight in the schedule where/when key deliverables (as outlined in the Terms of Reference) will be provided. Demonstrate ability to deliver on all Project objectives. 	25
Maximum Attainable Score for Stage 1 - Technical Proposals	90 points
Minimum Score to proceed to Stage 2 ("Benchmark")	63 point
Financial	10

Where required in Table 1 or where any project example is given in a Proposal, Proponents shall include:

- the name and description of the project;
- the contact information including the individual's name, title, organization name, telephone number and e-mail address if available;
- the cost of the project; and
- the start and completion date of the project.

The City reserves the right, at its sole discretion to contact references and/or use references in the Proponent selection process.

In addition, any information received in response to enquiries made by the City of third parties apart from those disclosed in the Proposal in relation to the reputation, reliability, experience and capabilities of the Proponent may also be considered.

1.2 Optional Interviews

Prior to step 2, the City, at its sole discretion, reserves the right to conduct interviews with any number of the top scoring Proponents. The interviews will be held for clarification purposes and to verify the scores which the Evaluation Team has applied to those Proponents being interviewed.

After the interviews, the Evaluation Team will consider its prior scoring of the Proposals and adjust any, all, or none of the criteria up or down as the Evaluation Team agrees in consensus.

The Proponent's project manager and key members of the project team, as named in the Proposal submission must be in attendance at the interview. Unless otherwise

instructed by the City, Proponents shall keep the number of presenters to the interview to no more than three (3) people.

Any interviewed Proponent falling below the Benchmark will not move on to step 2. All interviewed Proponents whose scores remain at or greater than the Benchmark will move on to step 2.

APPENDIX F – NOTICE OF “NO BID”

It is important that the City of Clarence-Rockland receive a reply from all bidders. Although there is no obligation to submit a Bid, should you choose not to, your completion of this form will assist us in continually improving our Bid Process. Please complete the following by checking off the appropriate statement(s) including your additional comments and fax to Procurement Services at 613-446-1497 prior to the closing time and date indicated in the bid package.

- 1. We do not supply this product(s) or service. _____
- 2. We cannot supply to the specification _____
- 3. Unable to quote competitively _____
- 4. Cannot bid due to present work load _____
- 5. Quantity is too large _____ too small _____
- 6. Unable to meet delivery/completion requirements _____
- 7. Patent or licensing restrictions _____

Other reasons/additional comments:

Do you wish to bid on these goods/services in the future? Yes_____ No_____

Company_____

Address:_____

Phone:_____ Fax:_____

Signature:_____ Title:_____

Name:_____ Date:_____

(Print)

APPENDIX G – COURTESY LABEL

From:



BID SUBMISSION
F18-INF-2018-003
Stormwater Master Plan (SMP)
(TECHNICAL SUBMISSION ENVELOPE #1)
TO: THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF CLARENCE-ROCKLAND

1560 Laurier
Client Service Center
Rockland, ON
K4K1P7

CLOSING DEADLINE – no later than 2:00 P.M., 20-Feb-2018



From:



BID SUBMISSION
F18-INF-2018-003
Stormwater Master Plan (SMP)
(FINANCIAL SUBMISSION ENVELOPE #2)
TO: THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF CLARENCE-ROCKLAND

1560 Laurier
Client Service Center
Rockland, ON
K4K1P7

CLOSING DEADLINE – no later than 2:00 P.M., 20-Feb-2018